

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/781,179 02/18/2004		Anthony William Sly	283702-14-1	1823		
8933	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER		
DUANE M	•	LLP	FAY, ZO	FAY, ZOHREH A		
IP DEPART		E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADELI	PHIA, PA	19103-7396	1618			
4.				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	055	10/781,179		SLY, ANTHONY WILLIAM					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Zohreh A. Fay		1618					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGHT SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (8) Within the specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory movill apply and will expir y cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the application.								
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
-	☐ Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requir	ement						
ت (۵	are subject to restriction and of	r cicculori requir	Ciriont.						
Applicat	ion Papers				•				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ ol	bjected to by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
44)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•			• •				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note th	ie attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•		d in this National	l Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	•							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified of	copies not receive	d.					
Attachmen	nt(s)								
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice									
. —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	ателт друпсацоп (РТ	- 132)				
0.0-1117	rademark Office	<u> </u>							

48

Application/Control Number: 10/781,179

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 7-11 are presented for examination.

The amendments and remarks filed on March 24, 2005 have been received and entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ding et al. (U.S. Patent 5,981,607).

Ding et al. teach an emulsion of higher fatty acids in combination with emulsifying agents for the treatment of dry eye symptoms caused by organic diseases or contact lenses. Castor, corn and sunflower oil are disclosed as being suitable. See column 3, lines 33-48 and column 4, lines 46-62. The above reference differs from the claimed invention in the addition of the fatty acids to a contact lens and then placing the contact lens in the eye as a protective film. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to use different means of administration for an ophthalmic product. One skilled

Application/Control Number: 10/781,179

Art Unit: 1618

in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of the above reference, since it relates to the use of the claim designated fatty acids as a lubricating agent for the treatment of dry eye. The use of different means for administering a compound in the eye is considered to be within the skill of the artisan in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant in his remarks argues that the prior art teaches the addition of polysorbate 80 to fatty acid glycerides. Applicant further argues that the claims of the instant application are drawn to consisting essentially of a glyceride. The arguments are not well taken. There is no evidence of record to demonstrate that the addition of polysorbate 80 to applicant's composition would materially change the nature of such composition. Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 7-11 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

The amendments of March 22, 2005 necessitate the new ground of rejection.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/781,179

Art Unit: 1618

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ZOHREH FAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

Z.F